

APPL.

IN TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 738089Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) PATIDARDate 6/5/01TO: Art Unit 3753Class/sub 7/129.01FROM: A.U. 2862 Class 324

REASON:

Flow control valve.Gatekeeper concurrence Hb for YN
6/14/01

Hand carried: Personally accepted by _____

Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: Kease A.U. 3754 Date 25 JUN 01☐ ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C.

NOT ACCEPTED

☒ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier☐ Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU _____

REASON:

Most comprehensive claim is claim 38. No valve claimed.
The recitations to a valve in claims 1, 6, and 7 are nominal.

Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☐ This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU 3754 Class/Sub 251 Post Classifier T. Sweet Date 7/15/01

Concurring _____ Date _____

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Claim 38 is no more comprehensive than the controller
and magnetically actuated sensor of claim 1 alone. Structural details
do not add to comprehensiveness only organizational elements (eg.
a valve, a controller, an actuator). The method of use claims 12-14 control
time they are equally comprehensive with claims 1-11 and are directed to

Gatekeeper Concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____

Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

a superior category of inventions. See the enclosures for more information

Panel Decision:

Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit _____

Class/sub _____

Date _____

REASON:

Eric Sweet is
incorrect on
this. send it
back KPS

OK for 7/20/282
was 7/24/01

Panel Member _____

Concurring Panel Member _____

☐ This application MAY NOT be returned to the dispute resolution panel. THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.